UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,524	06/27/2001	Martin Boliek	074451.P134	5999
Michael J. Mall	7590 11/14/200 ie	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			TRUONG, LAN DAI T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2452	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/894,524	BOLIEK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

1	_AN-DAI Thi TRUONG	2452	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	ne same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	. ONET CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	TINOTINETET WAS TI	_LD WITHIN TWC
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that the produce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount optened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu	It prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette	ideration and/or search (see NOT);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-14-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but on see continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P13. ☐ Other:	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kenny S Lin/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nıt 2452	

Regarding applicant's arguments with respect to the cited references do not disclose adjusting the TLM marker and the PLM marker to be conpatible with corresponding marker of the JPEG2000 are not persuasive. Combination of Larsson and Long ideas read on this limitation. Larsson discloses method of employing JPEG 2000 standard for image communications between a client and a server (see: Larsson, [0068]-[0069]; [0072]; [0076]-[0077]; [0101]; [0106]); wherein a particular marker is used to decode for particular request image portion. In response to each client's request, the server resends a different marker (e.g. TAGS or re-sync marks) which is compatible for the communication (Larsson, abstract, figure 3; [0098]-[0105]; figure 4-7). While Long covers the shortcomings from Larsson (i.e. adjusting the marker to be conpatible with corresponding marker of the JPEG standard). Long discloses method of adjusting bits limiting for byte-aligned marker into JPEG standard for decoding cycle, see (Long, [0561]-[0564])

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of (i.e., the JPEG2000 codestream reconstruction), it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies is not recited in the rejected claim(s) filed on (03/19/1008). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan-Dai Thi Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-7959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

11/12/2008.